Website Disclosure Questionnaire for Article 8	
Identification of the financial product and its	ZCH AM SICAV - ESG LATAM sub-fund
objective (art. 23 SFDR RTS ¹)	(the "Sub-Fund") is an equity
	investment strategy which aims to
	provide an active management over the
	MSCI Emerging Markets Latin America
	ESG Leaders Index
Summary (art.25 SFDR RTS)	The ZCH AM SICAV - ESG LATAM sub-
	fund (the "Sub-Fund") is an equity
	investment strategy which aims to
	provide an active management over the
	benchmark, the MSCI Emerging Markets
	Latin America ESG Leaders Index
	(GU145841 Index).
	This index, as stated by MSCI, targets
	companies with the highest ESG rated
	performance in each sector of the
	parent index, the MSCI Emerging
	Markets Latin America Index.
	The funds' ex ante tracking error target
	is 5-7% and off-benchmark positions are
	allowed based on the proprietary
	integration methodology of the Sub-
	Fund's investment manager.
	The Sub-Fund's investment strategy
	consists in improving the integration of
	ESG factors in the relevant companies,
	the particularities of which will depend
	on each industry to which investee
	companies belong. Therefore, the Sub-
	Fund aims to build positions in
	companies that are leaders in their
	industry in terms of ESG factors which
	are relevant to their specific nature of
	business, resulting in a reduced
	investment universe with lower risks
	related to environmental, social and
	governance factors.

¹ Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288 of 6 April 2022

No sustainable investment objective (art. 26 SFDR RTS)	This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics, but does not have as its objective sustainable investment.
Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product (art. 27 SFDR RTS)	The Sub-Fund's investment manager will aim to minimize exposure to these adverse impacts and when not feasible, will translate into a negative footprint into ESG risks and ratings, pricing the risk or decreasing exposure to the potential investee company following our ESG integration approach. At the extreme, the Sub-Fund will refrain from investing in companies that would result in a high exposure to adverse impacts. In those investee companies where the Sub-Fund does hold a position, it will exercise its voting powers to pursue and foster policies that could reduce adverse impacts in the future. The Sub-Fund's investment manager monitors and evaluates the following PAI indicators, where data on these is available: GHG emissions Carbon Footprint GHG intensity Exposure to non-renewable energy Energy consumption intensity Activities negatively affecting biodiversity- sensitive areas Emissions to water Hazardous waste Violations of UN Gloval Compact Principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enteprises and mechanisms to monitor compliance with these principles and guidelines. Gender pay gap

 Board gender diversity Exposure to controversial weapons.
The incorporation of Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors into the investment process is a key element of the UN Principles for Responsible Investments (PRI), which the Sub-Fund's investment manager signed up to in 2012. These factors are assessed alongside more traditional financial metrics by the Sub-Fund's portfolio manager. In this sense, the Sub-Fund's investment manager has access to specific data, training and proprietary analysis to enhance and strengthen its investment decision process. Given the evolving nature of ESG, these data sources may for the time being be incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable, and involve a significant element of subjectivity. As part of the Sub-Fund's investment manager's ESG integration methodology, an analysis is conducted on an industry basis in order to identify E, S and G related risks and opportunities that are relevant for each sector beyond a general definition of ESG factors. As such, the objective is to create a materiality framework, which will not only identify those factors but also will aim to assess its relevance in time: short term and long term potential impacts for the industry as a whole. Once those relevant factors are identified, a cross check is performed through conversations with companies' management and industry participants, where once again, additional information on industry trends, risks and opportunities are identified and, if feasible, quantified based on the

	aforementioned feedback. As a following step, a more detailed analysis is conducted on a company basis, having the previously mentioned materiality framework as the base for evaluating each company's positioning in terms of ESG factors. Also, for this purpose, a background check is carried for the sector's companies as to identify transparency, past controversies and the way analyzed companies have dealt with them in order to minimize
	recurrent risks in the future. Controller's character, one share one vote structure (unless different structure is coming from regulation), fair treatment to minorities with fair representation within the board of directors, clear policies regarding related parties transactions, data transparency and accuracy, strong management oversight, including promoting ethical and responsible decision making, timely and balanced disclosure of controversies if arisen and clear path to overcome and enhance company's policies after controversies.
Proportion of investments (art. 29 SFDR RTS) Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics (art. 30 SFDR RTS)	Not apply For comparison and transparency purposes, an ESG rating for the overall Sub-Fund is provided on its monthly factsheet. Then we can monitor and measure the effectiveness of our investments to attain ESG objectives by the ESG rating. This ESG rating is based on and provided by MSCI ESG ratings, which aims to assess "how well companies manage environmental social and governance risks and opportunities".

	"MSCI Ratings provides an overall company ESG rating – a seven point scale from AAA to CCC and provides scores and percentiles indicating how well a company manages each key issue relative to industry peers ".
Methodologies (art. 31 SFDR RTS)	For comparison and transparency purposes, an ESG rating for the overall Sub-Fund is provided on its monthly factsheet. This ESG rating is based on and provided by MSCI ESG ratings, which aims to assess "how well companies manage environmental social and governance risks and opportunities". "MSCI Ratings provides an overall company ESG rating – a seven point scale from AAA to CCC and provides scores and percentiles indicating how well a company manages each key issue relative to industry peers". In addition to ESG rating distribution, carbon risk as measured by T CO2/\$M Sales) and Governance risk, as measured by exposure to laggards based on a global percentile perspective, are aimed to be reduced when compared to a traditional latin american equity strategy.
Data sources and processing (art. 32 SFDR RTS)	The Sub-Fund's investment manager has access to specific data, from MSCI, investee sustainability report, Bloomberg, and any other that is available for the investee or the industry. Given the evolving nature of ESG, these data sources may for the time being be incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable, and involve a significant element of subjectivity. Then, it is crucial to cross reference between the different sources it is important

	As part of the Sub-Fund's investment
	manager's ESG integration
	methodology, an analysis is conducted
	on an industry basis in order to identify
	E, S and G related risks and
	opportunities that are relevant for each
	sector beyond a general definition of
	ESG factors. As such, the objective is to
	create a materiality framework, which
	will not only identify those factors but
	also will aim to assess its relevance in
	time: short term and long term
	potential impacts for the industry as a
	whole. Once those relevant factors are
	identified, another cross check is
	performed through conversations with
	companies' management and industry
	participants, where once again,
	additional information on industry
	trends, risks and opportunities are
	identified and, if feasible, quantified
	based on the aforementioned feedback.
	As a following step, a more detailed
	analysis is conducted on a company
	basis, having the previously mentioned
	materiality framework as the base for
	evaluating each company's positioning
	in terms of ESG factors. Also, for this
	purpose, a background check is carried
	for the sector's companies as to identify
	transparency, past controversies and
	the way analyzed companies have dealt
	with them in order to minimize
	recurrent risks in the future.
Limitations to methodologies and data (art. 33	Given the evolving nature of ESG, these
SFDR RTS)	data sources may for the time being be
	incomplete, inaccurate or unavailable,
	and involve a significant element of
	subjectivity. Then, it is crucial to cross
	reference between the different sources
	it is important
	Another cross check is performed
	through conversations with companies'

	management and industry participants, where once again, additional
	information on industry trends, risks
	and opportunities are identified and, if
	feasible, quantified based on the
	aforementioned feedback.
Due diligence (art. 34 SFDR RTS)	
Due dingence (art. 34 3) Dr. (13)	It is not in the scope of the analysis made a due diligence in investee
	companies.
	However, a background check is carried
	for the sector's companies as to identify
	transparency, past controversies and
	the way analyzed companies have dealt with them in order to minimize
	with them in order to minimize
Engagement policies (art. 35 SFDR RTS)	recurrent risks in the future.
Ligagement policies (art. 55 51 DR RTS)	In those investee companies where the
	Sub-Fund does hold a position, it will
	exercise its voting powers to pursue and
	foster policies that could reduce adverse
	impacts in the future.
	The majority of the harmful impacts
	companies or real assets can have on
	the environment, their employees or
	communities they operate in, may result
	in direct or indirect financial risks, the
	risk of losing the license to operate,
	competitive disadvantages or the loss of
	customer or community support. In
	other words, they constitute an "ESG".
	The Sub-Fund's investment manager
	uses various third-party data providers
	that understand these relations and
	provide information on the most
	material ESG risks and opportunities, as
	well as adverse impacts and ongoing
	controversies per company in the
	context of the sector they operate.
Where an index is designated as a reference	For transparency and alignment, the sub
benchmark to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial	fund has as benchmark index the over the
product, 'Designated reference benchmark (art.	MSCI Emerging Markets Latin America ESG
36 SFDR RTS)	Leaders Index (GU145841 Index).
	The MSCI Emerging Markets Latin America
	ESG Leaders Index is a free float adjusted
	Los Leaders mack is a free hour aujusted

and the second
market capitalization weighted index
desgined to represent the performance of
companies that have high environmental,
social and governance ratings relative to their
sector peers, to ensure the inclusion of best
in class companies from an ESG perspective.
The MSCI Emerging Markets Latin America
ESG Leaders Index uses company ratings
provided by MSCI ESG Research, along MSCI
ESG Controversies, MSCI Business
Involvement Screening Research and MSCI
Climate Change Metrics.
For further information on the index
methodology, please visit <u>MSCI ESG Leaders</u>
Indexes Methodology.